

**— MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION SECTION**

Operator: Good day, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to the GeoEye Inc.'s First Quarter 2010 Investor Call. At this time, all participants are in a listen-only mode. Later we will conduct a question-and-answer session and instructions will be given at that time. [Operator Instructions] As a reminder, today's conference call is being recorded.

I'd now like to turn the conference over to your host, Mr. William Warren, Senior Vice President and General Counsel. Please go ahead.

**William L. Warren, Senior Vice President and General Counsel**

Good morning. This is William Warren. Thank you for joining us today as we discuss GeoEye's 2010 first quarter financial results. Speaking today will be Mr. Matthew O'Connell, Chief Executive Officer and President and Mr. Joe Greeves, Chief Financial Officer. Also joining us on the call today are Mr. William Schuster, Chief Operating Officer and Mr. Brian O'Toole, Chief Technology Officer. After our remarks, we will take questions and answers from analysts and investors. This call is being recorded.

Before we begin the business portion of this morning's call, we would like to inform you that we expect to be making forward-looking statements during today's call. Statements including words such as "believe," "anticipate," "estimate" or "expect," conditional statements and statements in the future tense are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties and are based on management's current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance.

A number of factors could cause our actual future results to differ materially from our current expectations. Examples of these factors include, but are not limited to, conditions in the remote sensing industry, levels of government spending, competitive pricing pressures, the level of new commercial imaging orders, production rates for advanced image processing, startup costs or overruns on new contracts and technology and product development, risks and uncertainties.

Listeners are encouraged to read the risk factors in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a discussion of the various factors, which could cause our actual performance to differ from our forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to publicly update or revise our forward-looking statements. A copy of the prepared script for today's call will be made available on our Web site in the Investor Relations area shortly after this call. We will replace that with the final transcript once it is available. You may also listen to an audio replay on our Web site.

At this time, I would like to turn the call over to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President, Matt O'Connell.

**Matthew O'Connell, Chief Executive Officer and President**

Thanks, Will. I would like to thank all the equity investors, bondholders, analysts and customers listening to and participating in our first quarter call. We were very pleased with the record financial results we achieved in the first quarter. We experienced strong year-over-year revenue growth and operating margin improvement. We generated strong cash flow growth, maintained a nice backlog of work and continued to strengthen our balance sheet.

On this call, we'll update you on our first quarter results, balance sheet, current operations, the backlog of government and international business and new business developments. We will also provide an update to our financial outlook for 2010. After my initial comments, our Chief Financial

Officer, Joe Greeves, will discuss the Company's operating results, important financial metrics and our financial operations in more detail. Then we will take your questions.

Here are some highlights of the first quarter. GeoEye had record revenues of \$80 million, up 78% over the first quarter of last year. This revenue growth was generated by U.S. Government and international clients, strong demand for our production operations and a pickup in our commercial sales and aerial division.

For the quarter, we generated net income of approximately \$800,000 or \$0.04 per diluted share. If you exclude the impact of accounting treatment for the Cerberus transaction, which Joe will describe later, net income for the first quarter was \$11.2 million or \$0.52 per diluted share.

In our first quarter, operating income was \$27 million. As a result, operating margins were 33% and the adjusted EBITDA margin was 54%, both of which exceeded our previous guidance. We ended the quarter with a very solid balance sheet with approximately \$292 million in cash balances. The U.S. Government accounted for 67% of sales in the first quarter. The federal government's demand for commercial satellite imagery and the first full year of GeoEye-1 operations were the biggest drivers of our revenue growth. This strong demand will continue to be driven by the needs of our largest customer, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, or NGA.

During the first quarter, we recognized \$37.1 million of revenue from the NGA under the Service Level Agreement, or SLA, of the NextView program. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenues. We met all the stringent requirements under the SLA in February and March and again in April, the first month of the second quarter. In January, we didn't meet all of the metrics because of the satellite antenna irregularity we reported in December, but we overachieved on some metrics and were able to gain back almost all of the NGA's \$12.5 million per month monthly fee.

International's sales revenues were approximately \$20.6 million or 26% of total revenues for the first quarter. International revenues grew substantially over the prior year. The international revenues were reduced nominally in January by the satellite issue, but have now substantially recovered.

Now, I'd like to give you a quick update on our three lines of business—imagery collection, production services and information services—beginning with a discussion of imagery collection. In the first quarter, we generated sales of approximately \$60 million from satellite imagery collection, up 85% over the first quarter of 2009.

These sales were largely driven by sales of GeoEye-1 imagery to our customers in the defense and intelligence communities. As our strong sales proved, the worldwide demand for satellite imagery, change monitoring and surveillance continues to resist recession. Our strong performance also demonstrates that we have successfully mitigated the antenna issue we disclosed back in December.

Now, turning to production and other services, production operations and value-added services generated approximately \$15 million or 18% of total revenues in the first quarter and had year-over-year growth of 48%. The recently expanded production capacity at our St. Louis facility has helped us keep up with U.S. Government customer demand.

Turning now to our aerial fleet, MJ Harden's business is looking better in 2010. MJ Harden operations were affected by the economy in 2009. The MJ Harden division experienced first-quarter revenue growth of 23% year-over-year and sequential growth of 60%. Favorable weather in certain parts of the country allowed us to complete several aerial projects in the first quarter. MJ Harden's strategic push into infrastructure markets with new customers in the energy and utility industries should result in commercial revenue growth for MJ Harden over the next few years.

Now, turning to information services. Our real real-time Web delivery service, which we branded EyeQ, became operational with the NGA in the first quarter. It's part of their program called Rapid Delivery of Online Geospatial Intelligence, which they refer to as RDOG. Under the RDOG program, our St. Louis production group is producing a digital image map of an entire country for the NGA, and our informational services group is hosting it in a Web services environment so that NGA users can access it anytime, anywhere.

Now that we have launched Web services for the U.S. Government, we'll begin rolling out beta versions to our commercial customers this summer. We plan to start commercial operations in the second half of the year. In the coming months, you'll hear more news from us regarding the services we will be offering to a wide range of government and commercial clients for applications in the oil and gas industry, mining, engineering, construction, and infrastructure industries and public safety.

Next, I'd like to provide a quick update on the NGA's EnhancedView program. We submitted our bid to the NGA on March 8, 2010. Since that time, it has been under evaluation. NGA hasn't announced any change since the last time we talked. We've continued to keep GeoEye-2 on track for launch in the late 2012 timeframe in anticipation of an award, which we believe should be this summer. Obviously, it's too early to make any predictions on whether we will win or the impact the contract will have.

If we win, the EnhancedView program will have a positive long-term financial impact on GeoEye. That brings us to a discussion of our next satellite, GeoEye-2. If we win an award under the EnhancedView program, we expect to finance the GeoEye-2 satellite with the cash we have on the balance sheet, including the proceeds of the bond offering in late 2009, cash flow from operations and a cost share from the NGA. In addition, as you know, we entered into a strategic partnership with Cerberus Capital Management. The Cerberus commitment makes our EnhancedView bid to the NGA fully funded.

In March, we announced that we had selected Lockheed Martin to build the satellite. Lockheed has begun constructing the satellite, and we have made some milestone payments to them, which Joe will discuss in more detail. The GeoEye/Lockheed team is a best-in-class partnership and will provide the best technical solution and highest assurance to the NGA that they will get the added capacity they want when they need it.

GeoEye-2 will have better resolution than any other commercial satellite and other advantages, such as advanced tasking capabilities and the ability to collect more imagery faster. You'll notice that this quarter, we spent additional capital on GeoEye-2, bringing our total investment in GeoEye-2 to a little more than \$100 million.

Now, for our financial outlook. We are comfortable forecasting revenues in a new range of \$310 to \$320 million and adjusted EBITDA in a range of \$150 to \$160 million for 2010.

Now, I'll turn the call over to our Chief Financial Officer, Joe Greeves, so he can talk about our first-quarter results in detail. After Joe's done, I'll be back to sum up and then we'll take your questions.

---

**Joseph F. Greeves, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer**

---

Thank you, Matt. Good morning, everyone. I believe the strong first-quarter operating results we just reported today show that we have substantially recovered from the fourth-quarter satellite anomaly and that we are now back on track, producing strong revenues, operating margins and consistent operating cash flows. We believe these results will help continue to position us for leadership and future growth in the emerging commercial satellite industry.

Now, let me review our financial results. The first-quarter revenues were \$80.4 million, which represents a 78% increase from the \$45.2 million reported in the same period last year. This also represents \$7.1 million or a 9.8 percent sequential revenue growth from the fourth quarter of 2009.

The first-quarter revenue breakdown includes the following: domestic revenues at \$59.8 million increased by 93% over the first quarter of 2009 and represented 74% of our total first-quarter revenues. International revenues, which rebounded nicely from last quarter, were \$20.6 million, which represented 44% growth rate over the prior year and accounted for 26% of total revenues.

International revenues for the first quarter of 2010 included a \$2.5 million one-time positive revenue adjustment related to a contract whose revenue recognition was previously delayed. Please bear in mind this one-time adjustment when updating your models going forward.

Our imagery revenue of \$59.6 million represented 74% of total revenues for the quarter and grew by 85% year over year. Our NextView cost share revenues accounted for \$6 million or 7.5% of total revenues. Production and other services revenues, up \$14.7 million, were 18% of total revenues and also showed an impressive 48% increase over the prior year.

Our production and other services revenue increased by \$4.8 million over the prior year, due primarily to the increased demand for value-added production services, and to a lesser extent, an improvement in our MJ Harden commercial aerial operations.

We've told you in previous calls that we are investing in expanding our production facilities in Thornton and St. Louis. Our results now show that we are reaping the benefits of those investments. We expect production to have a strong 2010 and to continue to grow. It's not clear that the market can sustain this type of growth rate over the long haul.

Our U.S. Government work amounted to 67% of total revenues in the first quarter. Our U.S. government customers include the NGA, Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, Department of Interior, and many other agencies. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of revenues in the first quarter or in 2009.

In regards to the NGA service level, we recognized \$37.1 million of imagery revenue in the first quarter, which represented 46% of total revenues in the first quarter. We met all the metrics specified by the SLA agreement in February and March, but fell a little short in January as a result of the satellite irregularity. We believe our customer, the NGA, continues to be pleased with our performance and imagery deliveries so far in 2010.

Now let's talk some other financial metrics. Adjusted EBITDA is one of our primary operating performance metrics. We defined adjusted EBITDA as net income before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, non-cash recognition of stock compensation and other unusual items, in this case, the adjustment to fair market value for the Cerberus deal that I'll describe later.

Adjusted EBITDA was \$43.5 million for the first quarter of 2010, with an adjusted EBITDA margin of 54% of revenues. This was substantially higher as compared to the \$10.6 or 23.5% of revenues reported during the first quarter of 2009. This strong year-over-year growth was primarily due to our solid revenue growth and good expense control. We also benefited this quarter from the \$2.5 million, one-time international revenue adjustment, which I previously mentioned. With the GeoEye-1 satellite performance back on track, we will continue to target our 50% EBITDA margin for the foreseeable future, with some slight quarterly variations.

Direct costs for the first quarter of 2010 were \$24.5 million and declined as a percentage of revenues to 30.5% from 52% in the prior year. As the result of the year-over-year revenue growth, the Company's operating leverage has improved. Although we are always trying to evaluate ways

to reduce costs to become more efficient, we expect those costs to range between 30% and 35% as we continue to invest in the growth of the business.

Depreciation and amortization expenses rose from \$8.5 million last year to \$16 million in the first quarter of 2010 due to the commencement of operations of the GeoEye-1 satellite. We began depreciating GeoEye-1 and its related ground station in mid-February 2009. GeoEye-1 is being depreciated over its expected life of nine years.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were up \$13.4 million or 17% of revenues for the quarter. These costs have increased by \$1.9 million year over year due to the increased business development costs and commissions, which have grown with the revenue base. We've also made additional investments in our R&D programs and financial and administrative support organizations. We plan to continue to make these types of investments to promote future revenue growth for the Company.

Income from operations for the first quarter of 2010 was \$26.5 million, a substantial increase from the comparable period in 2009 and last quarter. The operating margins were 33% for the first quarter of 2010 compared to 4% in the same period in 2009 and 26% last quarter.

Going forward, we continue to believe 30% is a reasonable annual target for our operating income margin. At this level, we can continue to make investments in new satellites, our information services business, domestic and international sales organizations, as well as expansion of our research and development programs. These important infrastructure and people investments should allow us to grow the business at a healthy rate while also producing a strong return for shareholders.

Interest expense for the quarter was \$8.2 million compared to \$5.6 million last year. Interest expense increased year-over-year, primarily due to our higher long-term debt balance resulting from the issuance of our 2015 Long-Term Notes in October of 2009. Our total interest cost was \$10.3 million, of which \$2 million was capitalized as part of the GeoEye-2 construction program.

You will see a non-operating charge in the financial statements of \$10.5 million this quarter. This is a non-cash charge, which relates to the Cerberus financing agreement we entered into on March 4, 2010.

As you may remember, during our process for bidding for the EnhancedView contract, we obtained a financing commitment from Cerberus Capital in order to respond to the U.S. Government's request to provide a letter of credit in an amount equal to the proposed cost share to build GeoEye-2. The commitment requires Cerberus to purchase \$112 million in preferred stock if we win a new satellite award under the EnhancedView program.

If the government decides to drop the letter of credit requirement, Cerberus has an option to purchase \$78 million in preferred stock under the same general business terms. Given the financial features of this agreement, U.S. GAAP requires us to measure the value of this deal using fair market accounting standards until we close the transaction.

For each quarter until we close the deal, we will be required to make a non-cash adjustment, which could be positive or negative, and reflects various inputs, including the changes in GeoEye stock price since the signing of the deal. For the first quarter of 2010, the non-cash charge was \$10.5 million and reflected on the balance sheet as a liability. This additional liability provision will ultimately be capitalized as part of the proceeds from the Cerberus preferred stock transaction and should eventually be added to the equity of the Company. In any case, I would like to emphasize that this is a non-cash accounting charge.

Moving on to income taxes, our effective tax rate for the quarter was 38.3% before discrete items, such as the nondeductible Cerberus deal charge, which I previously discussed. We believe this represents the Company's effective tax rate for 2010 before the effects of any discrete items.

Net income for the first quarter was \$774,000 or \$0.04 per diluted share compared to a loss of \$1.7 million or a loss of \$0.09 per share in the first quarter of last year. Excluding the impact of the Cerberus deal, net income for 2010 was \$11.2 million or \$0.52 per diluted share.

Turning to contracted backlog now, we have historically had and currently have a substantial backlog, which provides some assurances regarding our future revenue expectations. Our backlog was approximately \$254 million as of March 31, 2010. This backlog includes \$72 million of contracts with the U.S. Government. We continue to feel confident about our future revenue visibility and our new business pipeline.

Now turning to the balance sheet, our balance sheet continues to be very strong. The Company finished the first quarter with \$227.5 million in unrestricted cash and restricted cash of \$64.4 million. Of this restricted cash, \$48 million relates to funds designated for the construction of GeoEye-2.

Accounts receivable for the first quarter were \$36.3 million, up from the \$32.6 million last quarter. This represents 41 days of sales outstanding, which is well below our target range of 60 days.

Capital expenditures for the quarter were \$28.8 million in the first three months of 2010, due primarily to capital spending on GeoEye-2, with \$2.5 million being invested in software for a new contract, and another \$2.5 million being invested in maintaining our existing infrastructure.

We now have incurred expenses of a total of \$104 million in building our next imaging satellite, GeoEye-2, through March 31, 2010. During the second quarter, we expect to spend approximately \$40 million on capital expenditures, primarily on the GeoEye-2 program, in advance of a possible award on the EnhancedView program. We will reevaluate our spending rate at that time, based on the timing and outcome of the award.

Now let's look at what we can expect for 2010. In our earnings release this morning, we raised the low end of our revenue guidance and narrowed the range to \$310 to \$320 million. For the year, we expect continued growth in our government services business as we benefit from a full year under the SLA.

We also expect strong growth in our production services business and our U.S. commercial business and a more modest growth in our international business. We expect adjusted EBITDA to be in the range of \$150 to \$160 million. We will not give any new earnings-per-share guidance until the sale of the preferred stock to Cerberus is final.

Our cash position continues to be strong. We received \$18 million in the first quarter of 2010 related to the exercise of 1.8 million in outstanding warrants. In addition, we expect to collect \$33 million from our income tax receivables sometime in the second half of this year. These factors, combined with the cash flow from operations and the proceeds from our preferred stock deal, should leave us well capitalized going forward.

Now I'll turn the call back to Matt.

**Matthew O'Connell, Chief Executive Officer and President**

Thanks, Joe. We're very pleased with the record revenues and earnings we reported in the first quarter and the way the year is shaping up. It'll be another year of growth for GeoEye. We'll

continue to add quality people to our organization throughout the year and to enhance our systems and platforms as we prepare for an EnhancedView award that we hope to win this summer.

We believe the financial growth we reported in the first quarter is a reflection of the dedication of all of our employees. We would also like to thank you, our investors and our bondholders, for the support you've given us. We're excited about our industry, and we foresee another year of revenue and EBITDA growth, healthy backlog and high margins.

We're pleased with our strong business fundamentals and we believe that we are very well positioned to benefit from the future increase in demands for imagery and location information services from the U.S. Government, our international customers and our emerging commercial customer base.

Now I'd like to open the call to financial analysts and investors for questions. For all others, we invite you to stay on the call and listen in. Of course, as we discussed earlier on the call, many of the statements we made and will make during the Q&A period are forward-looking statements subject to risks and uncertainties.

**QUESTION AND ANSWER SECTION**

Operator: [Operator Instructions]. Our first question comes from Paul Coster of JPMorgan. Please go ahead.

**<Q – Paul Coster>**: I'm actually struggling a little bit with the guidance in a good way. I'm trying to keep below the top end of the range. Joe, perhaps you could help a little bit here. Should we factor in some seasonality on the revenue side? Gross margins presumably will be impacted by the one-time \$2.5 million revenue bump in the quarter, but is there something else going on in the gross margin or operating margin side that could bring the margins down in the mid-part of the year?

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: We will be investing some funds in our new information services business. And as usual, I tend to be a little bit on the conservative side of guidance. We feel pretty good with our revenue and EBITDA visibility and we raised the lower end of our guidance on both counts.

**<Q – Paul Coster>**: Can you talk about seasonality a little bit?

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: We had a very strong result with our aerial business in the first quarter; for MJ Harden. I'm not sure that that can be replicated every quarter. There is some seasonality to that business, but also we did have some good results there.

**<Q – Paul Coster>**: Backlog has been coming down for a couple of quarters. I assume this is because NextView has a finite term to it. When the EnhancedView contract is awarded, assuming that you win that contract, should we assume that backlog starts going back up again? Is that a fair statement?

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: That's true. Actually, we've been fairly flat there. Our production backlog went down about \$8 million and our international backlog went down about \$8 million. We don't see that as a significant trend either way.

**<Q – Paul Coster>**: Finally, now that you started construction of the GeoEye-2 satellite, Matt, are you in a position to share with us what the probable resolution and the spectral characteristics of the satellite will be?

**<A – Matthew O'Connell>**: I don't think we are in a position to share with you the probable resolution. It will be better than GeoEye-1. But, since that's the subject of an ongoing bid, I think we ought to probably pass on that for now. GeoEye-1 is good. This will be even better.

**<Q – Paul Coster>**: Great. Thanks very much.

Operator: Our next question comes from Jeff Evanson of Dougherty & Company.

**<Q – Jeff Evanson>**: The production and services business grew quite dramatically on a sequential basis. Could you talk about what's driving that business? And, in particular, I'd be curious to know if you saw stronger growth from classified work versus non-classified work on a sequential basis.

**<A – Matthew O'Connell>**: Well, I'll take a first shot at that, and then I might ask Bill to chime in. Remember that we have been investing and expanding the production capability in St. Louis and Thornton. We told you about that investment last year. It was small dollars, but it did increase our throughput, and we're just working stuff off faster.

**<A – William Schuster>**: As to "classified versus unclassified," I think the bulk of the work that we're doing is for the U.S. Government.

**<Q – Jeff Evanson>**: Joe, with respect to this non-cash charge you had in the quarter, I'm curious, what would you anticipate will happen with respect to that charge if you win the cost share? Is that when it would be capitalized?

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: Basically, this is a market value adjustment. There are three alternative scenarios. One is we end up with an EnhancedView win and -- a conforming win and Cerberus invests their \$112 million. Second, is the letter of credit requirement is dropped and they invest \$78 million. And the least likely alternative is that there is no award and we owe them \$2.3 million. So, just up to the point of the announcement of the award, we have to market to the market the Cerberus commitment, which is primarily driven off of the movement of the GeoEye stock price. At that point of the award, the final calculation, there is added to the preferred stock balance as part of the preferred stock capitalization. So, post an award, this movement would stop. So effectively, it's marked the day before the announcement. So I don't expect the announcement to have any effect on it.

**<Q – Jeff Evanson>**: All right, and so this \$10.5 million amount anticipates winning the cost share, correct?

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: That's correct.

**<Q – Jeff Evanson>**: With respect to the one-time \$2.5 million revenue item.

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: Yes.

**<Q – Jeff Evanson>**: ...Was that related in any way to the performance of GeoEye-1?

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: Actually it was due to a contract that had not been officially signed. And so the revenue associated with that was delayed; it came through in the first quarter, which I really just wanted to call out so that you could reflect that in your analyst models; that it is not going to be necessarily recurring. So no, it's not specifically related to that.

Operator: Our next question comes from Raj Patel of Farallon Capital. Please go ahead.

**<Q – Raj Patel>**: On that one-time revenue adjustment or that contract that came through, how big was that again? I know you mentioned it.

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: That's a \$2.5 million adjustment.

**<A – Matthew O'Connell>**: And Raj, actually I'm going to jump in and answer one of Jeff's questions. In effect, it was related to the performance of GeoEye-1. People got all worried about the antenna issue -- there were just a few foreign affiliates that were affected by this antenna issue. This is one of those affected affiliates. So I think it shows that Bill Schuster has successfully mitigated that issue. Go ahead.

**<Q – Raj Patel>**: Okay. And then, on a quarterly basis, how much of your revenues are effectively earned that quarter on a spot basis versus contracted? Either by one of these affiliates or through the U.S. Government?

**<A – Matthew O'Connell>**: I would say that we have 90% plus visibility to a quarter.

**<Q – Raj Patel>**: Okay. And then, can you give us an update where you are on your on-line revenues?

**<A – Joseph Greeves>**: We're not going to break those numbers out for you, Raj, but we are up and running, getting paid by the U.S. Government, which is great. So, we have put that into operation. Brian O'Toole is with us. He's going to do beta testing for the commercial guys this spring and go into full commercial deployment and online in the second half of the year.

Operator: Our next question comes from Jeff Rath of Canaccord. Please go ahead.

**<Q – Jeff Rath>**: Matt, just a question for you and a different way to ask it on EnhancedView. Someone external to the bid process could look at GeoEye and you recently did a bond issue where you held back some extra funds subject to an EnhancedView award. Now you've done a preferred offering further to capitalize the Company as you look to commit to or look to benefit from EnhancedView. And then, it looks like now you are ramping even further your costs both this quarter and then your outlook I think for an additional \$40 million. Yet you haven't received the EnhancedView award. So it appears to me that there is very little risk of you not being awarded EnhancedView. And if that's the case, what are the elements that are most in doubt? I'm maybe not looking for the numbers, but this begs the question, which is, is an award imminent? You are clearly ramping now both on the balance sheet and in production to meet that expectation. So within the bid itself, can you isolate what elements of that bid are most in doubt for you? Thanks.

**<A – Matthew O'Connell>**: Well, Jeff, I share your optimism. I think very few things are in doubt. I think we are very well positioned. Having said that, this is Washington and, I think that one has to be a little cautious. Bill is ramping up his Operations group and his Engineering group because we do hope we can get an award, and we think that the timing of the launch of our satellite should be very appealing. But we just don't want to get too far ahead of it, because it just wouldn't be prudent to do that. So, we are going to keep going on a basis that lets us launch in 2012, but not really put the pedal to the metal full tilt until we get an award. That also serves as an incentive to the friends of ours in the Beltway area who want to get this satellite up by the end of 2012. It gives them a way to talk to the folks who may be dragging their feet and saying hey, let's keep going, we've got to get a contract or these guys will eventually stop spending money. I think that is the best way to look at it.

**<Q – Jeff Rath>**: Just a follow on question then. For people again external to the industry, they often times tie incremental revenue to a new satellite capacity. I wonder, GeoEye has been very aggressive in building production capacity here starting a few years ago. What kind of benefit are you expecting from the EnhancedView award and thereby processing data from GeoEye-2? Is that included in your guidance at this point? Or you can't include that because of the uncertainty of the award? Thanks.

**<A – Matthew O'Connell>**: Well, I don't know that we've been very aggressive in building capacity. We've spent a few million on it and that investment has obviously paid off, as Joe said, in the numbers that he gave you. And we are glad that the folks in St. Louis in particular have stepped up. If we, or whoever wins EnhancedView, there will be more imagery pixels and when there are more pixels, the government will need those pixels produced. We already do produce imagery for various other satellite companies, both domestic and international. That's just part of the mix that the U.S. Government gives us. They give us satellite, and digital global imagery. So we can't put a specific number on it. But the EnhancedView award will generate more imagery, and when there is more imagery, the government will want that extra imagery produced. So there's no question in our mind that the production is going to keep growing. And as Joe said, it's grown at a fairly torrid rate recently. I don't know that you should expect that same hyper-accelerated growth, but it will grow nicely over the next couple years.

Operator: We have a follow-up question from Paul Coster of JP Morgan.

**<Q – Paul Coster>**: Actually, my questions are answered. But, I've got one perhaps, which is on the antenna issue. You've worked around it. Is there any chance still that it can be completely fixed? Or have you kind of put a line under that now?

**<A – Matthew O'Connell>**: We do not believe that we would be able to completely fix it. However, I think we have mitigated the impact of it and in fact are serving our international customers again.

So as I said, Paul, that one-time bump in revenues in the first quarter was a customer who we just hadn't gotten signed last year for various reasons. And we did get through that process and obviously got that signed and recognized the revenue after the anomaly. So again it's – we've kind of made the anomaly a non-issue.

Operator: And I'm showing no further questions at this time.

**Matthew O'Connell, Chief Executive Officer and President**

All right, well, we thank you all for dialing in. We thank you for your questions and your support, and we look forward to talking to you next quarter.

**Disclaimer**

The information herein is based on sources we believe to be reliable but is not guaranteed by us and does not purport to be a complete or error-free statement or summary of the available data. As such, we do not warrant, endorse or guarantee the completeness, accuracy, integrity, or timeliness of the information. You must evaluate, and bear all risks associated with, the use of any information provided hereunder, including any reliance on the accuracy, completeness, safety or usefulness of such information. This information is not intended to be used as the primary basis of investment decisions. It should not be construed as advice designed to meet the particular investment needs of any investor. This report is published solely for information purposes, and is not to be construed as financial or other advice or as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any state where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. Any information expressed herein on this date is subject to change without notice. Any opinions or assertions contained in this information do not represent the opinions or beliefs of FactSet CallStreet, LLC. FactSet CallStreet, LLC, or one or more of its employees, including the writer of this report, may have a position in any of the securities discussed herein.

THE INFORMATION PROVIDED TO YOU HEREUNDER IS PROVIDED "AS IS," AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, FactSet CallStreet, LLC AND ITS LICENSORS, BUSINESS ASSOCIATES AND SUPPLIERS DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE SAME, EXPRESS, IMPLIED AND STATUTORY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT. TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, NEITHER FACTSET CALLSTREET, LLC NOR ITS OFFICERS, MEMBERS, DIRECTORS, PARTNERS, AFFILIATES, BUSINESS ASSOCIATES, LICENSORS OR SUPPLIERS WILL BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION DAMAGES FOR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES, GOODWILL, WORK STOPPAGE, SECURITY BREACHES, VIRUSES, COMPUTER FAILURE OR MALFUNCTION, USE, DATA OR OTHER INTANGIBLE LOSSES OR COMMERCIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF ANY OF SUCH PARTIES IS ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSSES, ARISING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HEREIN OR ANY OTHER SUBJECT MATTER HEREOF.

*The contents and appearance of this report are Copyrighted FactSet CallStreet, LLC 2010. CallStreet and FactSet CallStreet, LLC are trademarks and service marks of FactSet CallStreet, LLC. All other trademarks mentioned are trademarks of their respective companies. All rights reserved.*